

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This amendment is responsive to the Office Action that issued April 15, 2005 and the Advisory Action that issued June 8, 2005. In light of the following remarks, reconsideration and removal of the grounds for rejection are respectfully requested.

The Applicants would like to thank the Examiner for the indication that Claims 9, 10, 26, and 27 are allowed.

In the Office Action, Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 16-18, 21, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Number 6,028,626 to Aviv (Aviv). Further, Claims 3-4 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Aviv. Claims 12-13 and 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Aviv. Moreover, Claims 8, 11, 14, 24-25 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Aviv in view U.S. Patent Number 6,628,835 (Brill). In addition, Claims 15 and 30 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Aviv in view of NMSU Police Department (NMSU).

Applicants have canceled Claim 1 without prejudice. Claim 16 is amended herein to correct an obvious typographic error that was noted upon review. Claims 2, 5, 7, 8, 14, and 15 are amended herein to depend from allowed Claim 9. Accordingly, it is

respectfully submitted that Claims 2, 5, 7, 8, 14, and 15 are allowable and an indication to that effect is respectfully requested.

The subject matter indicated as allowable in Claim 9 has been incorporated into independent Claims 12, 16, and 28. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that Claims 12, 16, and 28 also be allowed. Further, Claims 13, 17, 18, 21, 23, 24, 25, 29, 30, and 31 respectively depend from one of Claims 12, 16, and 28 and are allowable for at least this reason as well as for the separately patentable elements contained therein. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that Claims 13, 17, 18, 21, 23, 24, 25, 29, 30, and 31 also be allowed.

It is respectfully submitted that since a feature that was already present in the claims was introduced into other claims, the amendments to the claims does not introduce new matter requiring further search and thus, entry of this present Supplemental Amendment is respectfully requested.

The Applicants respectfully reserve the right to reintroduce subject matter deleted herein, either at a later time during the prosecution of this application or any continuing applications.

This amendment places the instant application in condition for immediate allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

Early and favorable action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

By Gregory L. Thorne

Gregory L. Thorne, Reg. 39,398
Attorney for Applicant(s)
September 16, 2005

THORNE & HALAJIAN, LLP
Applied Technology Center
111 West Main Street
Bay Shore, NY 11706
Tel: (631) 665-5139
Fax: (631) 665-5101